

Previous references.

1893-94:
191
(Sep. Revue)

File No. 24-(93-94)

Serial Nos. 1-10

Later references.

(53)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-12

Major ~~Part B.~~ for January 96

SUBJECT

Permission of certain Today
Forms in Chulakere Taluk.
for 1893-94.

From, the Enese Commune
D. No 3385, L. No 935 of 9-9-93.
M821 119

Submits copy of Docket No 95
of 5th inst from the D. C. Chitaldroog
all the results of certifying today, and Forms in the
Chulakere Taluk.
2. To, the Enese Commune
No 7788 of 18.10.93.
M8693

G. R. S. 58

States to report further
particulars re the resale of certain

2. Toddy ~~Shops~~ & Chellapase
Taluk.

3. From, the Excise Commr
D. No 11228 [D. No. 2587] D/15.1.84
P-2124 273

Reports further particulars
regarding the above.

4. To, the Excise Commr
the Comptroller

No 19058-9 D/4.5.84:
P-1958

Approves the resols being
confirmed by the D.C. and requests
the Exc Commr to report the result
of the steps taken against the
defaulter for the recovery of the losses.

5. From, the Excise Commr
D. No 3212 [D. No. 1125] D/5.9.84
P-843 III

Forwards copy of communi-
cation from the D.C Chitoldroog
together with the remission
statement.

6. To, the Excise Commr
No 13525 D/2-3-85
P-1853

He draws the inv. file for
~~seasons~~ reference and re-
transmission with a
revised statement

Office note dated 15 October '95

SECTION	FILE NO.	SERIAL NO.
24-93	24-93	24-93

Subject - Remission of Rs 233-15-0 on account of resale of two farms consisting of Basapurad & other Toddy Shops purchased by H. Subbalarow 1893-94/15 months

Reference - Ex Commr No 4485 of 2649/430

1. The Excise Commr sends up with the above the by Commr's explanation for certain errors pointed out which are satisfactorily accounted for in revised statement.

2. The two farms under ~~adv~~ ^{full amount of the} advance were resold as the purchaser failed to produce the required deposit as security.

3. The original sale realized Rs 2,916/9/- and the resale Rs 2640/5/- or a loss of Rs 276/4/- from which the amount of deposit credited towards the arrears 42/5/- being deducted the net sum of Rs 233-15-0, with interest thereon, ^{to date,} ~~amounting to Rs 233-15-0~~ is now recommended for remission as the defaulter possesses no movable, or immovable property, and all efforts made to recover the amount due have failed.

Orders are solicited to sanction remission with interest due to date.

[Signature]

All remission can be recommended by Mr & for


22 October 1995

10/10/95

may be directed with
the observation that
sufficient case does
not seem to have been
expressed in the matter
of permitting only solvent
debtors to stand at the sale.

The failure to direct upon
the prescribed deposit &
security being a once
questioned, the delay
in reporting the Vendor
for non-compliance & in
effecting a resale, have
led to a loss which
might otherwise have
been avoided.

Yours faithfully,
19-10-95

21/10/95


Drift
accq
21-10-95

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

3385-
11 SEP 93

R821

SECTION <u>S. D</u> From
FILE NO. <u>24 93-94</u>
SERIAL NO. <u>1</u>
To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 9th September 1893

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. one

General No. 935
No. 119

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submits, copy of
docket no. 95, dated
5th instant, from the
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District,
reporting the resales of
certain Saddy vend
farms in the Chellakere
Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office).

Is

the same no 7789 28/11/93
R780-93

New Branch 11/9 21/11/9

no 935 (enc 1)
119 Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, dated 9th September 1893

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to submit,
for the information of Government,
Copy of docket no 95, dated
5th instant, from the Deputy
Commissioner, Chitaldrug district,
reporting the resales of certain
Taddy vend farms in the Chellakere
taluk, owing to the failure of the
original purchaser Subba Row
to produce the prescribed security deposits

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Thicketts
Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore

(General Serial No. 31.)

Enclosed 1893 to Sl 1

From
The Deputy Commissioner
ChitaldrugTo
The Excise Commissioner
in MysoreDated 5th }
Despatched } September 1893.
Received }

Enclosures No. nil

General No. 95 }
R. F. No. } 189 -9

Has the honor to report
that the Toddy Farms
III and XVII of the Chelle-
kere Taluk comprising of
the marginally noted
Toddy shops were resold
on the dates and for the
sums noted against them,
owing to the failure of the
original purchaser, K
Subba Rao to fulfil the
conditions prescribed in
Rule V, clause 2 of the
Government Notification

Sl. No.	Names of Shops attached thereto	Amount for which originally sold	Names of original purchaser	Amount for which resold	Name of purchaser in the resale	Date of resale
III	1. Braasapur	Rs 156 per measure	K. Subba Rao	Rs 80 per measure	Soodiah	29-4-93
	2. Dittakutti					
	3. Boganahalli					
	4. Gonnahalli					
	5. Goddalavahalli					
	6. Simbuladavahalli					
	7. Kalamthimarhali					
XVII	1. Nipparahally	Rs 156 per measure	K. Subba Rao	Rs 14-8-0 per measure	Lukshminah	3-5-93
	2. Gannamanga-halli					
	Total			Rs 177-8-0 per measure	Pampiah	29-4-93

5-8-0
177-8-0
5-8-0
177-8-0
5-8-0
177-8-0

No 11813, dated 14th January 1893 and to
R723392
state that the difference between the
original and resale amount of 272-1-6
has been ordered to be recovered from the
defaulter

(Sd) J. Murkamaldeen Ahi.
for Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug

Copy

Shikets
Exuse Commissioner
in Mysore
Gmb

Toddy Khists irrecoverable from defaulter
Chitaldroog District for the year 1893/94 (15 months)⁵

7 Arrears due prior to the date of resale including local cess (Kharif for April 1903)			8 Total amount to be recovered from defaulter including local cess.			9 Amount of deposit credited towards the arrears.			10 Amount of sale proceeds of pro- perties credited towards the arrears.			11 Balance irrecoverable including local cess.			12 Remarks.		
165	12	"	247	9	"	31	12	9	"	"	"	215	12	3	Rs 233 — 15 — and interest thereon is now recommended to be permitted. All possible steps were taken to recover the loss from the defaulter. The property attached had to be released for the reasons stated in the English order made on the enclosed return. The defaulter possesses neither moveable nor immovable property to be dealt with.		
28	"	"	28	"	"	10	8	3	"	"	"	18	2	9			
194	7	"	276	4	"	42	5	"	"	"	"	233	15	"			

Chitaldroog

Deputy Commissioner

C.B. Chitaldroog District

CRH
22/4.

Revised Statement showing the
Kattal Saabha Rao of the Chellekere Taluk,

1.	2	3			4			5	6		
Name of Shop (Gum)	Name of defaulter	Amount of original bid including local cess.			Amount of bid at the resale including local Cess.			Date of resale	Loss by resale up to the end of the lease.		
		Monthly	For 15 months from 1st April 93.		Monthly	For 14 months from 1st May 93.			Monthly	For 14 months	
1. Racapaora	Kotehal Gobba Rao	✓			✓			29/4/93	✓		
2. Mitlakutte		✓			✓				✓		
3. Bogenhalli		✓			✓				✓		
4. Bonehalli		165 12 "	2486 4 "		159 14 6	2238 11 "			5 13 6	81 13 "	
5. Dad hadihalli											
6. Girwala alias oblapara		✓			✓						
7. Katamdevarkotay		✓			✓						
1. Shippareddihalli (S. Inam)		28 11 "	430 5 "		28 11 "	401 10 "	29/4/93	" "	" "		
Total		194 7 "	2916 9 "		188 9 6	2640 5 "	—	5 13 6	81 13 "		

By Commissioners' office
Chitaldroog District
Dated 22nd April 1895.

6

SECRET	572	(2)
FILE NO.	211	(93-94)
SERIAL NO.	2	

The Excise Commr
in Mysore

Dy 8th Oct 93.

no $\frac{7789}{2780-93}$ ✓ En.

His letter no $\frac{935}{119}$ Dy 9th
Sept/93 Submitting copy
of docket no 95 Dy 5th
Sept 93 from the Dy
Commr, Chitaldroog Distt
reporting the resale of
certain Toddy Vind
Jarrus in the Challakere
Taluk.

In reply has the
honor to state that
it is not clear from
the Correspondence whether

7

~~whether~~ the original
 purchaser deposited Rs 30/-
 or such other reasonable
 amount as might
 have been fixed by the
 officer ^{that} conducted the sale,
 If he did so, what became
 of such deposit, and
 whether ^{was} any reduction
 on this account ~~was~~
 made in the calculation

800/-
 122/-
 678/-

of the loss by the resale?
 2. As to how the loss of Rs 272-1-6 is ^{calculated} ~~assumed~~
 A detailed report ^{is}
 requested. ~~on these points,~~
~~on receipt of which~~
~~further orders will be~~
~~passed.~~

B O

Mrn
 for Chief Secy

29/11
 6/11/93

Excise Commissioner's Office
N^o 2597 Bangalore, 15th January 1894.
273 (recd. 42
set. filed) 20 9

From

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket N^o
7789, dated $\frac{8}{12}$ th November 1893,
R780-93
I have the honor to forward, herewith,
copy of letter N^o 207, dated $\frac{18}{22}$ th
December 1893, from the Deputy
Commissioner, Chitaldrug District,
from which it will be seen that
the original purchaser of certain
eight Toddy Shops, in the Chalakere
taluk, deposited only Rs 10 at the time
of the sale, and that the actual
loss sustained by Government in
consequence of the resale, is Rs 271-15-6
as per details therein given, and not

Rs

Rs 272-1-6 as incorrectly mentioned in the Deputy Commissioner's former report.

2. In the Amildar's explanation received with the Deputy Commissioner's docket N^o 215, dated $\frac{12}{13}$ th instant, it is stated that the original purchaser having failed to produce the security deposit and to commence the business of the Farm in due time, the Toddy Inspector, in view to prevent loss to Government, enquired if others would take up the shops for the past year's amounts; that one Papiak having accordingly tendered to take up only four out of the 8 shops concerned, the Toddy Inspector put them up to sale in anticipation of sanction, on 17th April 1893, when the said Papiak's highest bid for them was Rs 88-3-0; that the Amildar cancelled the Inspector's

Sale

Sale on the ground that he exceeded his power, and put up to auction the whole eight shops on the 29th April 1893 when the said four shops fetched only Rs 88-3-0 as at the Inspector's sale; and that the Deputy Commissioner conformed the Amildar's sale. On this explanation, the Deputy Commissioner has remarked:- "The Inspector exceeded his power and so did the Amildar. The sale by the Inspector and its cancellation by the Amildar were not reported to this office. The explanation is not satisfactory. The Amildar's sale was conformed under the peculiar circumstances set out in my order relating thereto." I concur in the Deputy Commissioner's opinion that the Amildar's explanation is unsatisfactory, and that the proceedings of the Toddy Inspector and Amildar were irregular and unwarranted. They were not competent to hold sales under

12.
Under the Rules. The Amildar's
duty was to have at once brought
the default of the first purchaser
to the Deputy Commissioner's notice
to take necessary action under the
terms of the ^{sale} Notification.

3. The files of vernacular papers
received from the Deputy Commis-
sioner are herewith submitted for
reference and return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

^{Shankar}
Excise Commissioner
N. in Mysore

No 207
En: 1 file of papers.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District 13

Dated Camp Hanbar $\frac{18^{th}}{22}$ December 1893

From

C. Sreenwasa Syengar Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District.

To

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official
Memorandum No. $\frac{1638}{54}$, dated 14-11-93,

1 Basapura	For Rs 165-12-0 per mensem
2 Mithakutte	
3 Bogenhalli	
4 Donhalli	
5 Dodbadihalli	
6 Sourwala alias Oblapura	
7 Matamdevarkotay	
8 Nippareddihally (S Inam)	
Total Rs 194-7-0	

I have the honor
to state that the
2 Diddy Farms
in question consisting
of the marginally
noted shops were
sold originally to
one K. Subba Row
for Rs 194-7-0 per
mensem including
local cess. He having
failed

failed to fulfil the conditions of the sale they were resold as detailed below for sums noted against them:-

- (1) Shops Nos 1, 2 & 3 for Rs 85 per mensem (including cess) on the 29-4-93 to one Gooriah to have effect from 30-4-93.
- (2) Shops Nos 4, 5 & 6 for Rs 59-8-0 per mensem (including cess) on the 29-4-93 to one Paupiah to have effect from 30-4-93.
- (3) Shop No 8 for Rs 28-11-0 per mensem (including cess) on the 29-4-93 to one Paupiah to have effect from 30-4-93.
- (4) Shop No 7 for Rs 15-6-6 per mensem (including cess) on the 3-5-93 to one Lakshminiah with effect from 4-5-93.

From the above figures and on perusal of the statement, overleaf, it will be seen that a sum of Rs 271-15-6 is the actual loss sustained by Government and not Rs 272-1-6 as erroneously mentioned in my docket No 95, dated 5.9.93. Towards this deficit a sum of Rs 38-0-6 has been recovered as shown below and steps are being taken to recover the balance of Rs 233-15-0

Thus

plus interest up to date of payment. The records connected with the resale are sent herewith and the Amildar has been called upon to explain why he authorized his abkari Inspector to ^{let out} Shops No. 4, 5, 6 & 8 nine days before resale and why he failed to bring the matter to my notice up to 4-12-93.

Statement.

Khist due by Subba Row April 1893 Rs 194-7-0
 Sums recovered from the 2nd purchasers
 towards Shops No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 8 being
 the khist due for one day viz for 30-4-93
 at Rs 173-3-0 per mensem (Rs 88-0-0 + 59-8-0
 + 28-11-0) } 5-12-4

Balance due for April 193 Rs 188-10-8

Khist due by Subba Row for May 1893 - Rs 194-7-0
 Amount recovered by the resale
 of the above 7 Shops towards May
 khist } 173-3-0
 Do - Do - by the resale of Shop No. 7
 for Rs 15-6-6 per mensem on
 the 3-5-93 with effect from 4-5-93 } 13-4-8
 14?
 } 187-1-8

Balance due for May 193 Rs 7-5-4

Loss sustained by the resale from June 93 to June 94 (13 months at Rs 5-13-6) per mensem (194-7-0 - 188-46) Rs 75-15-6

Total deficit Rs 271-15-6

By cash deposited by the defaulter at the time of original sale. Rs 10-0-0

Total deficit Rs 271-15-6.

Rs 10-0-0

Sale

By cash recovered
from persons
who held shops
Nos 4, 5, 6 & 8 let out
by the Alkari Inspector
nine days previous to
resale.

26-8-8

Khist paid by purchaser
of shop No 7 for 1st to 3rd
May '93 though the
Sale took effect from
the 4th Idem.

1-7-10

Total recovered Rs 38-0-6

Balance due Rs 233-15-0

I have &c.

(Signed) D. Ballard

For Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Sketches.

Excuse Commissioner



in Mysore

6

17

SECTION	General
FILE NO.	22-193-92
SERIAL NO.	119

Letter no 935 D/ 9th Sept 193
 from the Excise Commr in Mysore
 Letter no 2597 D/ 15th Aug 1934
 from the same

Order Thereon

With the above, the Excise Commr reports the circumstances under which certain Toddy Vend Farms in the Chellakere Taluk were resold, and solicits approval of the same.

2. The Toddy Farms consisting of the marginally noted shops were sold originally to one K. Subba Row for Rs 194-7-0 per annum including local Crs. He having failed to fulfil the conditions of the sale, these shops were ^{re}sold in convenient groups for Rs 188-9-6 per annum resulting in a ^{with} loss of Rs 5-13-6

No 19058-9 D/ 4-5-94
 R 1958 9

- 1 Basapur
- 2 Mittakutta
- 3 Bogmuhalli
- 4 Dowerkalli
- 5 Dadabadihalli
- 6 Sivamala alias Ohlapur
- 7 Katamdevarakotlay
- 8 Thipparedihalli (S. Nam)

per annum. ~~Cancelled~~
~~Consequently~~
Rs 271-5-6 including the
arrear of Khists due from
the defaulter, of which Rs 38-0-6
are reported to have been
realized,

The total loss therefore
amounted to,

$$\begin{array}{r} 271-5-6 \\ 38-0-6 \\ \hline 233-5-0 \end{array}$$

3. It is ~~further~~ observed
that on the failure of the
original purchaser, the Paddy
Inspector resold some four
of the shops in anticipation
of sanction ^{and} ~~the~~ ~~anildar~~
~~on~~ the ground that the Inspr
exceeded his power, and that
the whole of the shops were
subsequently resold by
the Anildar, which was
confirmed by the Dy Commr
under the peculiar circum-
stances of the case.

Cancelled the resale

4. ^{Under these circumstances}
Govt approve of the
resale being confirmed by
the Dy Commr, but ~~request~~
to stop ~~such~~ ^{the} irregular
& unimprovised procedure

the former in holding
the result & the
latter in cancelling
it without the order
of the Dy. Commr.

on the ~~last~~ ^{last} of the
~~the~~ ^{Saddy Inspector}
and the Amildar of the
Taluk & Govt. ^{are} agree with
the Dy. Commr. & the Excise
Commr. in their remark
that the Amildar's expla-
-nation is not satisfactory.

5. The Excise Commr. is
requested to report the
result of the steps taken
against the defaulter for
the recovery of the loss ~~of~~
to Govt. ~~in the result.~~

(Caused)

W/5

By Chief Secy
To 10/2/94
The Excise Commr. in Mysore
The Comptroller in Mysore

ll

14/2/94

W/5

Rev. Mauch
17.9.94

SECTION 57 [Gen. Serial No. 30.]
FILED 24-63-84
From The Excise Commissioner
SERIAL 5

20

1894 ³²¹² 47

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
R 843

Submitted for order

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

RS 20/9
E. P. Chack - The
origl amt was Rs 23/15
the present amt is Rs 250/2/2
13th Sept 1894
Is it correct?
If so it will have
been paid.

Dated 5th

Despatched

7th September 1894

Received

Enclosures No.

(2 + 1 file)

General No. 1125

No. 111

With reference to para 5 of Government
Proceedings No. 19058-9, dt 4 May 1894
in reply to R 1958

SUBJECT.

Forwards Copy of Communication from
the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog
District together with the Remission
statement and Vernacular paper
therewith received and requests
that under the circumstances -
stated the loss of Rs 250-2-2
accrued on account of the resale
of certain Toddy Farms in the
Chellakere taluk may be
written off the accounts.

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office.)

17/9

Submitted with draft
The interest is specified
in the remission stat^t &
so the difference noted above
could be reconciled, but
the other figures of the
stat^t cannot be made
out from the particulars
given in the Dy Commr's former
letter. Hence a draft is
fwd for appls

RS 2/10
E. P. Chack draft as below

In reply has the honor to
print out that the amt reported
to be given by the Dy Commr
in the 1st letter was Rs 233-15-0 plus interest
as now calculated @ 16-3-2 = Rs 250-2-2 the net
sum recommended for remission as given in the
2nd letter No. 49 dt 22/9/94. The remission is
attached thereto but the results as set forth in the
said letter do not bring out Rs 250/2/2

No 1125 (Enc 2^d 1 file) Excise Commissioners Office
Bangalore, 5th September 1894

From

(57)

The Excise Commissioner

M Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to para 5 of
Government Proceedings No. $\frac{19058-9}{21958}$
dated $\frac{4}{9}$ th May 1894 I have the
honor to forward, herewith, copy of
docket No 49, dated $\frac{22^{nd}}{31}$ August 1894,
from the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District together with
the Remission Statement and
Vernacular papers therewith received,
and to request that under the
circumstances stated by the Deputy
Commissioner there being no means
of recovering Rs 250-2-2 on account
of the loss which accrued to Govern-
ment by the resale of certain Toddy
Vessels

and Farms in the Chellakere
Taluk owing to the default of the
first purchaser Sooba Row for
the year 1893-94, the amount may
be ordered to be written off the accounts.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Thos. Kelly
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

1894.

24

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldang District

To

Enclosed ~~Rs 5~~

\$0 56 5

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated $\frac{22}{31}$ nd August 1894.

N^o 49 En: 1 file of papers.

His N^o $\frac{3858}{93}$ dated $\frac{29}{30}$ th May 1894
re the recovery of loss from Joddy
Vend defaulter N. Sooba Row of the
Challakere Taluk

~~~~~

In reply has the honor to state  
that all possible steps were taken  
to recover the loss from the defaulter  
above referred to. But it has turned  
out that he possesses neither movable  
nor immovable property by the sale of  
which the loss sustained by Government  
could be recovered. Requests therefore  
that the Excise Commissioner will  
be

is good enough to move Government  
to remit the sum of Rs 250-2-2  
(including cess and interest up to the  
end of July 1894) as per details given in  
the enclosed Statement. The entire  
record connected with the case is  
herewith submitted for reference.

Signed C. Srinivasengar  
Deputy Commissioner  
Chitaldurg

Copy

Shankar

Excise Commissioner  
in Mysore

6

✓

26

|            |          |
|------------|----------|
| SECTION    | S. R.    |
| FILE NO.   | 24-93-94 |
| SERIAL NO. | 6        |

The Excise Commr  
Muzh in Mysore

13525  
No R 1953  
Sd/- V.P.


His letter no  $\frac{1125}{111}$  D/ 3<sup>rd</sup> ult  
Submitting for sanction a  
remission statement for  
Rs 250-2-2 on account of  
arrear due from Sub Row  
a toddy vend farmer of the  
Challakere Taluk.


In reply has the honor  
to point out that the amount  
reported to be due by the default-  
er as per account originally  
rendered in the Dy Commr's letter  
no 207 D/ 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 93 was  
Rs 233-15-0 plus interest, as  
now intimated Rs 16-3-2  
= 250-2-2 <sup>which amount is</sup> the net sum  
recommended for remission  
as given in the Dy Commr's no 49  
D/ 22<sup>nd</sup> Aug 94 and in the  
remission statement attached  
thereto; but the figures as set  
forth in detail in the said

Statement (herewith returned)  
do. not bring out Rs 250-2-2  
but Rs 444-9-2 (vide figures  
in pencil). The Dy Commr should  
therefore be called on to state  
how he reconciles the difference.  
And the Exce Commr will also  
explain why the data was  
not checked in his office ere  
rendered to this office?

The Exce Commr. is requested  
to see to it that he has in  
future to figure through the data  
in his office before submitting  
any statement.

2. The Ver: file is returned  
for reference and retransmission  
<sup>with</sup> accompanied by a revised  
Statement for submission of  
the correct data.

180  1/3/95

180  2/3/95  
Chf Secy

  
S. P. S.  
2/10/94

Gen. 30.1

11484 28  
1895 R 3081

4 f.  
Why not returned? Send  
off for thank  
19/3/85

From

The Excise Commissioner  
in Mysore.

|            |                            |
|------------|----------------------------|
| SECTION    | S. R                       |
| To         |                            |
| FILE NO.   | 21, 63-841                 |
| SERIAL NO. | 7                          |
| Dated      | 6 <sup>th</sup> March 1895 |

The Chief Secretary to the  
Dewan of Mysore.

Despatched 7<sup>th</sup>  
Received

Enclosure No.  
General No. 3746  
No. 340

In reply to Chief Secretary's No.  
13525, dated 3<sup>rd</sup> March 1895.  
Q. 1953

SUBJECT.

States that the Remission  
Statement regarding the  
arrears due by Subba Row,  
a Toddy Vender Farmer in  
the Chellakere Taluk, has  
not been received.

K. R. 873

References (to be entered only in the  
Dewan's Office.)

No. 3746  
340

Excise Commissioner's Office  
Bangalore 6<sup>th</sup> March 1895. 25  
7

From,

(7)

The Excise Commissioner  
in Mysore.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the  
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

Your N<sup>o</sup>  $\frac{13525}{R. 1953}$ , dated  $\frac{2^{nd}}{5}$

instant, regarding the arrears due by  
Subba Row, a Toddy Vender Farmer  
in the Chellakere Taluk.

---

I reply I have the honor to state  
that the Remission Statement referred  
to in the above, has not been received.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Th. Wells  
Excise Commissioner  
in Mysore.

30

8

|            |          |
|------------|----------|
| SECTION    | 592      |
| FILE NO.   | 24-33-94 |
| SERIAL NO. | 8        |

The Enase Court  
in Mysore

91 22 March 95

92 15647  
R2251 En: 1

His letter no 3746 of 6<sup>th</sup>  
340

March 95

As requested in the above,  
has the honor to forward  
herewith the Remission  
Statement regarding the  
arrears due from Subba  
Row, & Paddy Vund Janni  
of the Chellakere Taluk.


2/3  
[Signature]

Rs 0  
22/3  
off Chief Secy

[Signature]  
J.A.J.  
19/3/95

Gen. 30

SECTION S.P.  
 From  
 FILE No. 24(83-84) The Excise Commissioner  
 SER 9 To



Under  
 The Chief Secretary to the Government  
 Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 26th Excise Department.

Despatched 27th April 1895.

Received

Enclosure No. 1 + 1 file

General No. 4485

No. 430

In reply to the Chief Secretary's No.

13525, dated 3rd March 1895.  
R. 1953

SUBJECT.

Forwards copy of  
 docket No: 313, dated 22/23 4/9.  
 together with its original  
 enclosures, from the Deputy  
 Commissioner, Chitaldrug  
 District, explaining the dis-  
 crepancies pointed out  
 in the Remission Statement  
 of the Chellakere taluk, —  
 referred to in the above.

References (to be entered only in the  
 Dewan's Office.)

R 4485  
 28/4/95

4/5  
 11.51355

4/5  
 3/2

Submitted for orders  
 2. If approved, the remission

may be sanctioned  
in remission  
R 3 This & time

65 then cases cannot  
 be disposed pending the  
 3<sup>rd</sup> from cellars seeing state  
 of remissions sanctioned  
 Get up cases from files  
 of Figures remitted for 92/93  
 93/94 & 94/5 — <sup>The last</sup> two years  
 says he has moved what over  
 & all papers sent in open file  
 with copy & brought it  
 from D. W.  
9/5 1895

Excise Commissioner's Office  
No 4485  
430  
Bangalore, 26<sup>th</sup> April 1895.  
27<sup>th</sup>

From,

(9)

The Excise Commissioner  
in Mysore  
To,

The Under Secretary to the  
Government of Mysore  
Excise Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket  
No:  $\frac{13525}{R. 1953}$ , dated  $\frac{3^d}{5}$  March 1895 calling  
for further information regarding  
the permission recommended in favor  
of Subbahoo, Goddy vend Farmer,  
Chellakere Taluq, I have the honor  
to forward herewith, copy of docket  
No: 313, dated  $\frac{22^d}{23}$  April 1895, together with  
its original enclosures from the Deputy  
Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, explain-  
ing the discrepancies pointed out in the  
Remission Statement.

I have the honor to be,  
Your most obedient servant,  
The Commissioner  
Excise Commissioner  
in Mysore

Gen. 31.]

189

9 33  
a  
From The Deputy Commissioner  
Chitaldrug District

To The Excise Commissioners  
in Mysore

Dated 22<sup>nd</sup> April 1895.

Despatched 23<sup>rd</sup>

Received

General No. 3/3  
No.

Enclosure No. one bundle of  
vernacular papers or  
remission statements.

Hico Memo No. 3797, dated  
68  
7/3/95 calling for explanation  
of certain discrepancies  
in the remission statement  
submitted by this office on  
behalf of H. Subba Row  
Taddy vend defaulter of  
the Chellakere Taluk.

Adverting to the above, has  
the honor to state that the  
resale having been held  
on the 29<sup>th</sup> April 1893 and  
having taken effect from  
1<sup>st</sup> May/93, the arrear  
due by defaulter  
Subba Row

Subbhow for the month of April 193 was Rs 194-7-0  
34 as shown in Column 7 of the said statement.  
At the resale, the loss for the other 14 months is as  
Rs 81-13-0 on account of one farm and nil on  
account of the other. The total sum thus due by  
the defaulter was Rs 276-4-0. Deducting from  
this, the deposit of Rs 42-5-0 which had been  
made by him, the net outstanding balance  
is Rs 233-15-0 exclusive of interest. There  
was thus no mistake in the statement  
in question except that in Column 6, a sum  
of Rs 28-11-0 had been erroneously entered.  
For ready reference, a revised statement  
in a clearer form is herewith submitted.

2. The vernacular records together with  
the original statement are retransmitted.

(Sd) C. Sreenivasaswamy  
Deputy Commissioner  
Chitaldrug District

"Copy"

Shibhatt

Excise Commissioner  
in Mysore.

Amount from the defaults Id. Soobu Rao  
the year 1893-94.

Enclosure 9

| up to the end of the lease. | Arrears due prior to the date of resale including cess. | Total Amount to be recovered from the defaulters including cess. | Amount of depo. paid and sale proceeds of lands to creditors less arrears ref. | Balance in recoverable including cess. | Remarks.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11<br>9 0<br>13             | ✓<br>165 12                                             | 413 5 0<br>247 9 -                                               | 9<br>31 12 9                                                                   | 10<br>381 8 3<br>215 12 3              | Rs 250-2-2 is recommended to be permitted. All possible steps were taken to recover the loss from the defaulters. The property attached had to be released for the reasons stated in the English order made on the enclosed record. The defaulters possess neither moveable nor immovable property to be dealt with with letter accompanying. |
| ✓<br>28                     | ✓<br>28 11                                              | 57 6 0<br>28 11                                                  | 10 8 3                                                                         | 46 13 9<br>18 2 9                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| to the end of July 1894     |                                                         |                                                                  |                                                                                |                                        | 16 3 2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| 8                           | 194 7                                                   | 276 4                                                            | 42 5                                                                           | 250 2 2                                | ✓                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 46                          |                                                         | 470 11 0<br>16 3 2<br>486 14 2                                   | 2<br>2                                                                         | 444 9 2                                | ✓                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |

Deputy Commissioner

C.B.

# Statement showing the toddy uncovered of the Challekere Taluk of

| Name of Shop.                    | Name of the<br>defaulter. | Amount of<br>the original<br>bid including<br>cess. | Amount of<br>bid at the<br>resale including<br>cess. | Date of resale | Cess by resale |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1. Basapura                      | H. Subba Rao              | 15 months<br>2486 4                                 | 14 months<br>2238 11                                 | 29-4-93        | 24-<br>81      |
| 2. Mittacutta                    |                           |                                                     |                                                      |                |                |
| 3. Bogenhalli                    |                           |                                                     |                                                      |                |                |
| 4. Bonehalli                     |                           |                                                     |                                                      |                |                |
| 5. Kodabasihalli                 |                           | 15 months<br>430 5                                  | 14 months<br>401 10                                  | 29-4-93        | 28-<br>110     |
| 6. Sinambalu alias<br>Oblapura   |                           |                                                     |                                                      |                |                |
| 7. Katamdevaramkottay            |                           |                                                     |                                                      |                |                |
| 8. Shippareddihalli<br>(S. Inam) |                           |                                                     |                                                      |                |                |
| Total                            |                           | 2916 9                                              | 2640 5                                               |                |                |

Deputy Commissioner's Office  
Chitaldrug District

Dated 22<sup>nd</sup> August 1894  
3121-

2916-9-0+  
 2640-5-0-  
 -----  
 256-4-0+  
 196-7-0+  
 -----  
 490-11-0+  
 116-3-2+  
 -----  
 606-14-2+  
 42-5-0-  
 -----  
 648-19-2+  
 44-3-0-  
 -----  
 692-22-2+

Prop.

36

(10)

|          |          |
|----------|----------|
| SECTION  | S. P.    |
| FILE NO. | 24-83-94 |
| SER      | 10       |

Read  
Correspondence ending with  
letter No. 4485-826/45-430 N from the Excise  
Commissioner recommending  
of the remission of Rs 233-15-  
to make good the loss incurred  
by the resale of <sup>the 7000</sup> ~~certain~~ farms  
in the Chalakere Taluk owing to  
the default of the first purchaser  
K. Subba Rao, for the year 93-94.  
(15 months)

Order: No. 3864-65-22-10-95  
EX-110

The remission of Rs 233  
-15- with interest thereon is  
sanctioned ~~for the reason~~  
Government observe that  
2. Sufficient care does not  
seem to have been exercised in  
the matter of permitting only sol-  
vent persons to bid at the sale.  
The failure to insist upon the  
prescribed deposit and security  
being at once furnished, and  
the

explained  
in the notes  
condemned  
read above.

27

The delay in reporting the vend  
farmer's default and in effecting  
a resale have led to a loss which  
might otherwise have been avoided.

Q 22-10-95  
Revenue Secretary

The Encise Comms in Mysore

.. Comptroller Mysore Govt.

~~filed~~  
S. A. J.  
22/10